Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006

Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 SECTO 10018 01 OF 03 212002Z

61
ACTION NODS-00

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /001 W
---------- 019647
O 211935Z AUG 75 ZFF-1
FM USDEL SECRETARY IN JERUSALEM
TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE

S E C R E T SECTION 1 OF 3 SECTO 10018

NODIS

FOR ASSISTANT SECRETARY ENDERS - EB AND S/P - LEWIS
FROM LORD

E.O. 11652: GDS
TAGS: OVIP (KISSINGER, HENRY A.)
SUBJECT: UNGA SPEECH

1. FOLLOWING ARE THE SECRETARY'S COMMENTS ON DRAFT #5 KEYED TO THE NUMBERED PARAGRAPHS. HE WANTS TO WORK ON A NEW DRAFT OPENING OF BUSINESS SUNDAY OUR TIME. THEREFORE, YOU SHOULD TRANSMIT A COMPLETED NEW TEXT TO US, WITH PARAGRAPHS RENUMBERED NO LATER THAN MID-AFTERNOON SATURDAY YOUR TIME.

2. GENERAL COMMENTS

SECRETARY EMPHASIZED THAT HE WANTS YOU TO STRIVE FOR THE CLEAREST POSSIBLE ARTICULATIONS IN THE SPEECH AND TO STAY AWAY FROM IMPRECISE LANGUAGE WHICH REFLECTS BUREAUCRATIC NEGOTIATIONS. WHILE HE SEES SOME PROGRESS IN THE DRAFT, HE BELIEVES IT BEGINS TO PETER OUT AND TEND TOWARDS THE VAPID AFTER THE INTRODUCTION AND THE FIRST PART ON ECONOMIC SECURITY. THERE ARE STILL INSTANCES WHERE WE GIVE SOLUTIONS TO PROBLEMS WHICH HAVE BEEN INADEQUATELY EXPLAINED. ALSO IN SOME PLACES WE SUGGEST PROPOSALS TOO TENTATIVELY. FOR EXAMPLE, WE SHOULD NEVER SAY THAT WE MIGHT CONSIDER SOMETHING, BUT RATHER EITHER PROPOSE IT OR LEAVE IT OUT. SOMETIMES IT
SECRET

SECRET

IS A MATTER OF MERELY USING MORE POSITIVE LANGUAGE, TICK

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006

MARKS, ETC. GENERALLY, THE SPEECH NEEDS MORE ELEVATION AND DRIVE.

3. INTRODUCTION

THE SECRETARY IS RELATIVELY SATISFIED WITH THE INTRODUCTION. WE ARE REDRAFTING IT TO REFLECT HIS COMMENTS AND WE WILL SEND YOU THIS NEW VERSION SHORTLY. OTHERWISE YOU SHOULD WORK FROM THE TEXT OF DRAFT #5.

4. COMMENTS KEYED TO NUMBERED PARAGRAPHS

PARAGRAPH #33. THE THIRD SENTENCE WHICH SAYS THAT "THE WORLD COMMUNITY CANNOT ALLOW, ETC" IS TOO STRONG. THIS IS NOT ENTIRELY A MATTER OF INTERNATIONAL RESPONSIBILITY. HIGHLIGH MORE THE FACT THAT CONCERTED ACTION IS NEEDED. THIS IS WHY THE U.S. IS WILLING TO COOPERATE WITH THE INDUSTRIALIZED NATIONS ON ECONOMIC RECOVERY. THIS IS WHY WE WORK ON THE PROBLEM OF DEVELOPMENT.

PARAGRAPH #35. MAKE MORE SPECIFIC THE REFERENCES TO THE CONSULTATIONS WE HAVE BEEN HAVING WITH OUR PARTNERS.

PARAGRAPH #37. INDICATE THAT THE DIALOGUE MAY NOW TAKE PLACE.

PARAGRAPHS #39 THROUGH 45.

HE WANTS TO MOVE THIS SECTION ON FOOD SECURITY INTO THE COMMODITIES SECTION LATER ON. IT IS IN THIS SECTION WHERE THE BUFFER STOCK IDEA CAN BE STRESSED.

RE: PARAGRAPH #40, WE MUST EXPLAIN AGAIN WHAT WE WANT TO ACHIEVE WITH THE FOOD RESERVES, E.G., LETTING THE MARKET OPERATE BUT USING BUFFER STOCKS.

RE: #41, WHAT IS THE RATIONALE FOR THE GOAL OF 60 MILLION TONS? THIS SHOULD BE EXPLAINED BRIEFLY.

SECRET

SECRET

RE: #43, HE COMMENTS THAT THIS IS ALL THE MORE REASON TO PUT THIS SECTION INTO THE COMMODITIES SECTION.BUT, OF COURSE, WE WOULDN'T NEGOTIATE THE MAJOR AGREEMENT JUST TO SET AN EXAMPLE. THIS IS USEFUL BUT NOT AN END IN ITSELF.

RE: #44, WHAT SPECIAL ARRANGMENTS DO WE MEAN FOR THE DEVELOPING COUNTRIES? THIS IS NOW TOO VAPID. RE: #45, THIS JUST DOESN'T TRACK.

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006

PARAGRAPH #47. AFTER THIS PARAGRAPH AND BEFORE OUR
PROPOSAL WE NEED A SECTION ON WHAT WE ARE TRYING
TO DO -- INCOME STABILIZATION RATHER THAN PRICE FIXING.
ONLY THEN WILL THE SUBSTANCE OF THE PROPOSAL BE
UNDERSTOOD.

PARAGRAPH #48. RE: "100 PERCENT OF THEIR IMF QUOTAS",
WHAT DOES THIS ACTUALLY MEAN FOR THOSE WHO NEED IT MOST?
HE WANTS ENDERS TO PROVIDE SOME ILLUSTRATIVE FIGURES.
ALSO EXPLAIN BETTER WHAT IS NEW HERE CONCERNING BOTH
THE CONCEPT AND THE PROBLE.

PARAGRAPH #49 RE: IMPLEMENTING THE PROPOSALS, ON WHAT
DOES THIS DEPEND? IN THAT CASE, STATE HOW WE PROPOSE
THAT IMPLEMENTATION BE ACHIEVED.

RE: "MINIMUM OF MARKET INTERFERENCE", THIS DOESN'T
IMPRESS THE LDC'S UNLESS YOU FIRST EXPLAIN WHY.

PARAGRAPH #50. RE: SECOND SENTENCE ON INDUSTRIAL
COUNTRIES, WHY DOES IT MEAN THIS; IT IS OF LITTLE
HELP TO THEM. AS A GENERAL COMMENT AFTER PARAGRAPH
#50 HE NOTES THAT THE SECTION PETERS OUT AFTER THE
FIRST FEW PAGES.

SECRET

NNN

SECRET

PAGE 01 SECTO 10018 02 OF 03 212017Z

61
ACTION NODS-00

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /001 W
---------- 019767
O 211935Z AUG 75 ZFF-1
FM USDEL SECRETARY IN JERUSALEM
TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE

S E C R E T SECTION 2 OF 3 SECTO 10018

NODIS

FOR ASSISTANT SECRETARY ENDERS - EB AND S/P - LEWIS
FROM LORD

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006

SOMEWHERE IN THE GROWTH SECTION HE WANTS A PARAGRAPH ON THE HARMFUL EFFECTS OF OPEC PRICE RISES ALONG THE LINES THAT IT UNDERMINES GROWTH IN THE DEVELOPED COUNTRIES, EATS UP FOREIGN AID FOR THE DEVELOPING COUNTRIES. IF ONE IS GOING TO TALK ABOUT TRANSFER OF WEALTH IT MUST BE DONE IN THE CONTEXT OF ECONOMIC GROWTH; THIS IS BETTER THAN METHODS WHICH MEAN CONFRONTATION.

PARAGRAPH #55: THE SECTION NEEDS A MORE THEORETICAL BEGINNING IN ORDER TO EXPLAIN AND PUT IN CONTEXT THIS PARAGRAPH. ALSO NEXT TO PARAGRAPH 59 HE NOTES THAT WE SHOULD EXPLAIN BETTER AT THE BEGINNING OF THIS SECTION WHY WE ARE PLACING MORE STRESS ON INVESTING FACILITIES.

PARAGRAPH #60: SECRETARY UNDERSTANDS THAT THIS PART IS BEING CHANGED.

PARAGRAPH #61: THE SECRETARY DOESN'T UNDERSTAND THIS AND SUGGESTS THAT MAYBE IT SHOULD BE REDUCED TO A TICK MARK.

PARAGRAPH #62: IS TREASURY ABOARD?

PARAGRAPH #65: THE SECOND SENTENCE IS CONDESCENDING.
SECRET

SECRET

JUST STATE THE PROBLEM AND WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE.

PARAGRAPH #66: RE THE SECOND SENTENCE, WHAT CENTERS FOR WHAT PURPOSE? BE MORE SPECIFIC. RE CONSULTATIVE GROUP IN FIRST TICK MARK, THIS IS COMPOSED OF WHOM, TO DO WHAT?

PARAGRAPH #67: DELETE "THE GROSS ACT OF INTERNATIONAL IRRESPONSIBILITY." THERE IS A GENERAL COMMENT HERE THAT THIS TOO THREATENS TO TURN VAPID. RE INTERNATIONAL ENERGY INSTITUTE, THIS MUST BE TURNED INTO A PROPOSAL. RE JOINT WORKING GROUP AT THE END OF PARAGRAPH, THE RATIONALE IS NOT CLEAR.

PARAGRAPH #68: DELETE FIRST SENTENCE. RE MULTINATIONAL CORPORATIONS, THE SECRETARY QUERIES WHETHER THIS SHOULD BE IN THIS SECTION. THIS IS AN AGNOSTIC COMMENT.

PARAGRAPH #75: EXPLAIN IN A SENTENCE OR TWO WHY THIS WOULD BE COUNTER PRODUCTIVE.

PARAGRAPH '76: EXPLAIN AND MAKE SPECIFIC THE PROPOSAL ON INSURANCE. RE "REEXAMINE THIS AND OTHER

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006

MEASURES", GIVE EXAMPLES OF THE LATTER.

PARAGRAPH #81: THE SECRETARY QUERIES WHETHER THIS SHOULD NOT BE EITHER IN THE COMMODITY OR PRICE STABILIZATION SECTION.

PARAGRAPH #82: THE ANALYSIS IS NOT VERY PERCEPTIVE AND NEVER VERY ELEVATED.

PARAGRAPH #85: DELETE "WHETHER THIS IS FEASIBLE AND APPROPRIATE" AS BUREAUCRATIC WAFFLE.

PARAGRAPH #87: WHAT IS THE MEANING OF THE WORD "EQUALLY."

PARAGRAPH #89: THIS SECTION DOESN'T SOUND VERY GENEROUS. THE LAST TWO SENTENCES COULD BE SOMEWHAT CLEARER.

SECRET

SECRET

PARAGRAPH #90: IN SECOND SENTENCE STATE AS A SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATION RATHER THAN "MIGHT BE FEASIBLE AND DESIRABLE". RE LAST SENTENCE, EXPRESS MORE CLEARLY AND DELETE "WE MIGHT CONSIDER" AND USE FORMULATION LIKE "WE ARE PREPARED TO"

PARAGRAPH #91: RE FIRST SENTENCE EXPLAIN WHY THAT IS IMPORTANT.

PARAGRAPH #92: IN FIRST SENTENCE DELETE "WILL NOT BE GRANTED AND" AND SUBSTITUTE BEFORE RELINQUISH "ARE PREPARED TO". RE LAST SENTENCE, SECRETARY QUESTIONS WHETHER THE WORD "RECIPROCITY" IS CORRECT.

PARAGRAPH #93: THE SECTION NEEDS A THEORITICAL BEGINNING.

PARAGRAPH #94 ETC: TO WHAT PROBLEMS ARE THESE SOLUTIONS RELEVANT.

PARAGRAPH #96: RE SECOND SENTENCE, DO YOU THINK ANYONE KNOWS WHAT THIS STANDS FOR?

PARAGRAPH #98: THIRD SENTENCE SHOULD BEGIN "ON THIS BASIS WE WISH TO MAKE A NUMBER OF PROPOSALS." RE LAST TICK MARK, THIS DOES NOT MAKE ANY SENSE HERE.

SHOULD BE IN THEORITICAL SECTION.

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006

SECRET

NNN

SECRET

PAGE 01 SECTO 10018 03 OF 03 212021Z

60
ACTION NODS-00

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /001 W
---------- 019835
O 211935Z AUG 75 ZFF-1
FM USDEL SECRETARY IN JERUSALEM
TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE

S E C R E T SECTION 3 OF 3 SECTO 10018

NODIS

FOR ASSISTANT SECRETARY ENDERS - EB AND S/P - LEWIS
FROM LORD

PARAGRAPH #100: DELETE SECOND SENTENCE WHICH IS VAPID.

PARAGRAPH #101: THERE SHOULD BE A SENTENCE OR TWO
AT THE END ON WHY WE HELP. SOME ELEVATION IS NEEDED HERE.

PARAGRAPH #107: RE LAST SENTENCE, HOW DOE WE DO
THIS? SEPARATE IT FROM GOLD ISSUE? EXPLAIN.

PARAGRAPH #109: LAST TICK MARK IS REPITITOUS.

PARAGRAPH #110: RE SECOND SENTENCE, THE SECRETARY
DOESN'T CARE WHAT THE EXPERTS BELIEVE. WHAT DO WE
RECOMMEND? GENERALLY IN THIS PARAGRAPH THE PROBLEMS
TO WHICH THESE SOLUTIONS ARE ADDRESSED IS NOT CLEAR.

PARAGRAPH #111-112: ALL OF THIS IS FINE BUT SOME
PROPOSALS SHOULD BE TRANSFORMED INTO TICK MARKS SO THAT
THEY STAND OUT.

PARAGRAPH #116: AGAIN, THERE ARE SOLUTIONS WITHOUT
A PROBLEM.

PARAGRAPH #118: RE LAST SENTENCE, BETTER MAKE
CLEARER WHAT YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT.

SECRET

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006

PARAGRAPH #120: AT THE END OF THE FOURTH SENTENCE ADD A PHRASE LIKE "SUCH AS IN THE PRODUCER/CONSUMER DIALOGUE HOPEFULLY ABOUT TO START". TOWARD THE END THE SECRETARY QUERIES WHETHER THIS IS SAYING ANYTHING WHICH ISN'T OBVIOUS.

5. FINAL COMMENT: AGAIN, REMEMBER TO NUMBER PARAGRAPHS AND TRIPLE SPACE.
KISSINGER

NNN

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: TEXT, SECTO, SPEECHES, INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS, CAT-A
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 21 AUG 1975
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: SmithRJ
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975SECTO10018
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Film Number: P850033-1691, N750003-0338
From: SECRETARY JERUSALEM
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750831/aaaabape.tel
Line Count: 344
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION NODS
Original Classification: SECRET
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: NODIS
Page Count: 7
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: SECRET
Previous Handling Restrictions: NODIS
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: SmithRJ
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 12 AUG 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <12 AUG 2003 by KelleyW0>; APPROVED <01 DEC 2003 by SmithRJ>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
06 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: UNGA SPEECH
TAGS: PFOR, OVIP, PORG, US, UNGA, (KISSINGER, HENRY A)
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006